



"It is Better to Light a Candle than to Curse the Darkness"

Date:

To Whom It May Concern:

This is a formal expression of the *religious* dissent of Candlelight Christian Fellowship from current COVID-19 chemical injections, commonly called "vaccines." While this declaration of dissent contains medical, personal, legal, and philosophical elements, they are all part of our total *biblical* worldview and thus, together, comprise our *religious* conscientious objection based on our view that the God of the Bible is Lord of all life.

I hereby assert my right to a religious exemption from vaccination. I am a Christian who believes in the Bible, including the teachings in both the Old and New Testaments. Furthermore, I believe in the constitutionally protected right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

Therefore, in particular, regarding your vaccination requirement/enforcement, I wish to assert my constitutionally protected rights by the following ten items:

Moral:

- 1) The presence of and use of immortalized human cell lines taken against the will of the person(s) aborted having been used in the development of vaccinations, cause my firm belief that participation in the vaccination programs is an indirect engagement and participation in abortion. This alone is a violation of the constitutionally protected right to life as stated in our founding and presently held documents.
- 2) The presence of hazardous substances, attenuated viruses, animal cells, foreign DNA, albumin from blood, carcinogens, and chemical wastes is in strict violation of my health convictions and conscience.
- 3) The Covid-19 vaccine, with its synthetic mRNA and its mechanism for altering my body, is against my life practice and a violation of my freedom.
- 4) Freedom being a privilege that must be maintained, and that freedom being purchased at great expense by others in our historical past, requires that I/we honor those sacrifices with due respect. We owe to those present and those of future generations the same freedoms whereby we have benefited. Therefore, I make my stand in defense of those earlier pioneers and stand with them in solidarity lest our freedoms be forfeited.
- 5) Participation in the vaccination programs presently offered is a violation of my deeply held religious beliefs.

Legal:

- 6) Pursuant to FDA 21 U.S. Code Sec. 360bbb-3, medical products which have been granted Emergency Use Authorization may not be made compulsory. Such products may only be administered in a VOLUNTARY fashion and only after *full informed consent*. Thus, mandates of experimental COVID-19 "vaccines," or the use of any pressure or coercion to consent to them, *violates federal law*.
- 7) COVID-19 "vaccines" have not gone through the full Food & Drug Administration (FDA) approval process. They have been authorized for Emergency Use only. Therefore, wisdom demands that I/we refrain from use.

- 8) Fully informed Consent includes not only reviewing potential risks, but also providing data on actual adverse events. Data from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) indicates that death rates and debilitating side effects from COVID-19 “vaccines” far surpass those attributed to any previous vaccine. And although “vaccine” manufacturers have been granted immunity from injury lawsuits, private employers and public institutions are not immune. Therefore, employer-forced vaccinations could lead to legitimate and costly lawsuits.

Academic:

- 9) The short-term/long-term effects of other vaccinations have proven detrimental to members of our church family and therefore wisdom decries my need to refrain from participation.
- 10) The short-term and long-term effects of the Covid-19 “vaccine” have yet to be fully proven, and therefore wisdom decries my need to refrain from participation. Specifically, the use of lipid nanoparticles to introduce synthetic mRNA designed to long outlive natural mRNA, to induce the production of potent viral antigens by our intracellular machinery for an unknown length of time, is a novel mechanism that carries substantial potential for serious harm. There is already well documented evidence of short-term harm including death, and the long-term harm is yet to be determined.

Concerning the requirement of using/wearing masks:

A face mask is legally designated as a “medical device” by FDA Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act, Section 201(h) (Definition #2), and, as such, the use of facemasks is regulated in every state. Even just recommending that someone wear a regulated medical device (mask) may be punishable as the unlicensed practice of medicine which is a crime in all states.

Additionally, anyone harmed by a person practicing medicine without a license may also sue for damages in civil court whereby such person may be liable for any foreseeable injury that results from the misconduct.

Moreover, forcing a customer to wear a mask to enter your business is a violation of the Federal Civil Rights Act, Title II, which requires that as a place of public accommodation, your business must provide free and equal access to all services and facilities, without discrimination. I wish to sincerely thank you for allowing me to exercise my First Amendment right guaranteed as a citizen of the United States of America, also reinforced on a state level by the Fourteenth Amendment without prejudice.

Sincerely,

Witnessed:

Pastor _____

Date _____